

# **Computational Intelligence**

Winter Term 2010/11

Prof. Dr. Günter Rudolph

Lehrstuhl für Algorithm Engineering (LS 11)

Fakultät für Informatik

**TU Dortmund** 

Note: Slides of Thomas Jansen used with permission!

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0	0	0000	•0	00	000
	000	00000	00000	000		0000

### Important Parameters of EAs (1)

- dimension n of search space
  - no parameter of EA, but given by the problem
  - measures the size of the search space:  $\{0,1\}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $S_n$
  - plays the same role as input length in classical runtime analysis
  - other parameters are often chosen dependent on  $\boldsymbol{n}$ 
    - (e.g. mutation probability  $p_m = 1/n$ )
- population size  $\mu$ 
  - obviously  $\mu = n^{O(1)}$
  - often  $\mu = \Theta(n)$  or  $\mu = \Theta(\sqrt{n})$
  - $\mu = O(1)$  or even  $\mu = 1$  are not unusual
- number of offspring  $\lambda$ 
  - obviously  $\lambda = n^{O(1)}$
  - often  $\lambda = 1$
  - $\lambda = \mu$  or  $\lambda \gg \mu$  not unusual
  - selection method influences reasonable choice of  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0000	0 00000	0000	000	00	000

### Important Parameters of EAs (2)

- crossover probability  $p_c$ 
  - in general  $p_c \in [0;1]$  arbitrary
  - often  $p_c \in [1/2; 4/5]$  constant
- probability of applying mutation
  - don't confuse with mutation probability!
  - we will always use 1
  - Remark

 $p_m = 1/n \Rightarrow \operatorname{Prob}\left(\operatorname{no mutation}\right) = \left(1 - 1/n\right)^n \approx 1/e$ 

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0	0	0000	00	00	000
	000	00000	00000	•00		0000

### Methods for parameter control

• static parameter control

parameter values constant during the whole run

- often used
- + simple
- maybe it's better to vary the parameter value during the run?!
- dynamic parameter control

parameter values change during the run according to some time-dependent scheme

- + more flexible than static approach
- cannot deal with non-time-dependent changes
- unusual for EAs
- adaptive parameter control

parameter values can change dependently on every individual and any random experiment

- + very flexible
- hard to analyze
- computationally expensive
- often used for EAs

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0000	0 00000	0000 00000	00 000	00	000

### Self-adaptation

Idea good parameter values evolve together with good individuals

implementation	code parameter values together with individual
formally	$S \times Q$ instead of $S$ unchanged $f \colon S \to R$

### e.g. for mutation probability

- · every individual has its own mutation probability
- first vary the mutation probability
- then mutate with varied mutation probability
- afterwards normal selection
- important don't swap steps

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0 000	0 00000	0000	00 000	00	000

### Hierarchy of parameter control methods



Idea emerged independently several times: about late 1950s / early 1960s. Three branches / "schools" still active today.

# • Evolutionary Programming (EP):

Pioneers: Lawrence Fogel, Alvin Owen, Michael Walsh (New York, USA).

Original goal: Generate intelligent behavior through simulated evolution. Approach: Evolution of finite state machines predicting symbols. Later (~1990s) specialized to optimization in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by David B. Fogel.

### • Genetic Algorithms (GA):

Pioneer: John Holland (Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

Original goal: Analysis of adaptive behavior. Approach: Viewing evolution as adaptation. Simulated evolution of bit strings. Applied to optimization tasks by PhD students (Kenneth de Jong, 1975; et al.).

# • Evolution Strategies (ES):

Pioneers: Ingo Rechenberg, Hans-Paul Schwefel, Peter Bienert (Berlin, Germany).

Original goal: Optimization of complex systems. Approach: Viewing variation/selection as improvement strategy. First in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . "Offspring" from GA branch:

• Genetic Programming (GP): Pioneers: Nichael Lynn Cramer 1985, then: John Koza (Stanford, USA).

Original goal: Evolve programs (parse trees) that must accomplish certain task. Approach: GA mechanism transferred to parse trees. Later: Programs as successive statements  $\rightarrow$  Linear GP (e.g. Wolfgang Banzhaf)

### Already beginning early 1990s:

Borders between EP, GA, ES, GP begin to blurr ...

- $\Rightarrow$  common term **Evolutionary Algorithm** embracing all kind of approaches
- $\Rightarrow$  broadly accepted name for the field: **Evolutionary Computation**

scientific journals: *Evolutionary Computation* (MIT Press) since 1993, *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* since 1997, several more specialized journals started since then.

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0000	0 00000	0000 00000	00 000	00	<b>000</b>

### Design of EAs

- Idea Methodology to apply standard EAs
- Goal standard EAs do not have to be changed
- Requirement problem is given as  $g: A \rightarrow B$  g has to be maximized (or minimized) A arbitrary set, B partially ordered
- EA operates on search space S 'maximizes' fitness  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0 000	0 00000	0000	00	00	000

### Definition of mappings



 $h_1$  is genotype-phenotype-mapping.

# **Genotype-Phenotype-Mapping** $\mathbb{B}^n \to [L, R] \subset \mathbb{R}$

• Standard encoding for  $b \in \mathbb{B}^n$ 

$$x = L + \frac{R - L}{2^n - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{n-i} 2^i$$

 $\rightarrow$  Problem: hamming cliffs



# **Genotype-Phenotype-Mapping** $\mathbb{B}^n \to [L, R] \subset \mathbb{R}$

 $\bullet$  Gray encoding for  $b \in \mathbb{B}^n$ 

Let  $a \in \mathbb{B}^n$  standard encoded. Then  $b_i = \begin{cases} a_i, & \text{if } i = 1 \\ a_{i-1} \oplus a_i, & \text{if } i > 1 \end{cases} \oplus = XOR$ 

000	001	011	010	110	111	101	100	< genotype
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	phenotype

OK, no hamming cliffs any longer ...

 $\Rightarrow$  small changes in phenotype "lead to" small changes in genotype

since we consider evolution in terms of Darwin (not Lamarck):

 $\Rightarrow$  small changes in genotype lead to small changes in phenotype!

**but:** 1-Bit-change:  $000 \rightarrow 100 \Rightarrow \bigotimes$ 

4

**Genotype-Phenotype-Mapping**  $\mathbb{B}^n \to \mathbb{P}^n$  (example only)

 $\bullet$  e.g. standard encoding for  $b \in \mathbb{B}^n$ 

individual:

010	101	111	000	110	001	101	100	← genotype
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	← index

consider index and associated genotype entry as unit / record / struct;

sort units with respect to genotype value, old indices yield permutation:

000	001	010	100	101	101	110	111	← genotype
3	5	0	7	1	6	4	2	← old index

= permutation

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0000	0 00000	0000	00 000	00	000

### Requirements on $h_1$ and $h_2$

#### obvious requirements

- h<sub>1</sub> and h<sub>2</sub> can be computed efficiently
- $h_2$  suits g, i. e. good points in B are mapped to good points in  $\mathbb R$
- $h_1$  maps on many (all) important points of A
- Optima of f correspond to optima of g

Caution requirements can be hard to achieve in practice

for non-obvious requirements a metric is important

### Definition

Mapping  $d: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+_0$  is a metric on the set  $M :\Leftrightarrow$ 

- $\texttt{1} \ \forall x,y \in M \colon x \neq y \Leftrightarrow d(x,y) > 0 \text{ (positivity)}$
- ${\bf 2} \ \forall x,y \in M \colon d(x,y) = d(y,x) \ {\rm (symmetry)}$
- $\label{eq:started_s$

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0000	0 00000	0000 00000	00 000	00	000 0000

### Metric-based EAs

Assumption Metric  $d_A$  on A known ( $d_A$  reflects application knowledge)

Requirement metric  $d_S$  is known if  $h_1$  injective,  $d_S(x, x') := d_A(h_1(x), h_1(x'))$  is metric

Requirement monotonicity

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, x', x'' \in S: & d_S(x, x') \leq d_S(x, x'') \\ \Rightarrow & d_A(h_1(x), h_1(x')) \leq d_A(h_1(x), h_1(x'')) \end{aligned}$$

Introduction E	volutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0 0	000	0 00000	0000	00	00	000

### Variation as randomized mapping

- now Design-rules for variation operators
- hence Formalize variation operators as randomized mappings
- $r\colon X\to Y$  randomized mapping  $\Leftrightarrow r(x)\in Y \text{ depends on } x\in X \text{ and random experiment}$

formally probability space 
$$(\Omega, p)$$
  
 $r \colon X \times \Omega \to Y$   
 $\operatorname{Prob}(r(x) = y) = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega \colon r(x,\omega) = y} p(\omega)$ 

Example 1-bit mutation 
$$\begin{split} \Omega &:= \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ \forall i \in \Omega \colon p(i) = 1/n \\ \text{1-bit mutation is randomized mapping } m \colon \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^n \\ \text{where } m(x, i) &:= x \oplus 0^{i-1} 10^{n-i} \end{split}$$

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0	0	0000	00	00	000
	000	00000	00000	000		0000

### Design-rules for mutation

### favor small changes

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, x', x'' \in S : & d_S(x, x') < d_S(x, x'') \\ \Rightarrow & \mathsf{Prob}\left(m(x) = x'\right) > \mathsf{Prob}\left(m(x) = x''\right) \end{aligned}$$

### no bias

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, x', x'' \in S \colon & d_S(x, x') = d_S(x, x'') \\ \Rightarrow & \mathsf{Prob}\left(m(x) = x'\right) = \mathsf{Prob}\left(m(x) = x''\right) \end{aligned}$$

Introduction	Evolutionary Algorithms	Initialization and Selection	Variation	EA Parameters	Typical EAs	EA-Design
0	0	0	0000	00	00	000
	000	00000	00000	000		0000

### Design-rules for crossover offspring similar to parents

$$\forall x, x', x'' \in S: \qquad \mathsf{Prob}\left(c(x, x') = x''\right) > 0 \\ \Rightarrow \max\left\{d_S(x, x''), d_S(x', x'')\right\} \le d_S(x, x')$$

#### no bias

$$\forall x, x' \in S \colon \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ :$$
  
Prob  $(d_S(x, c(x, x')) = \alpha) =$ Prob  $(d_S(x', c(x, x')) = \alpha)$ 

Any EA that fulfills these four design-rules is called a metric-based EA (MBEA).