



Mutation

- depends on the search space
- generates one offspring from one parent
- makes only small changes with high probability



Mutation operators for $\{0, 1\}^n$

- **Standard Bit Mutation** (parameter mutation-probability p_m)

Copy x to y and invert every bit of y independently with probability p_m .

 - expected number of inverted bits = $p_m \cdot n$
 - $p_m \in (0; 1/2]$ to favor small changes
 - most often used mutation probability $p_m = 1/n$
- **b -Bit Mutation** (parameter b)

Copy x to y , choose randomly uniformly b different positions in y , and invert the bits of y at these positions

 - b often very small, most often $b = 1$
 - easier to analyze than standard-bit-mutation
 - Behavior can vary greatly from standard-bit-mutation

Mutation operators for \mathbb{R}^n

most often add random vector m on x to generate y

almost always $E(m) = 0^n$

often $m = (m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_n)$ where all $m' \in \mathbb{R}$

random choice of $m' \in \mathbb{R}$ where $E(m') = 0$

- **restricted** $m' \in [a; b]$, most often $m' \in [-a; a]$ uniformly
- **unrestricted** often normally distributed with probability density $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} e^{-r^2/(2\sigma^2)} \rightsquigarrow E(m') = 0, \text{Var}(m') = \sigma^2$
sometimes $\sigma = 1$ fixed and use $s \cdot m'$ instead of m'

How to choose s

- most often s is not fixed
- **Idea** choose large s , when far away from the optimum
- **Idea** choose small s , when close to the optimum

Mutation operators for S_n

- we don't discuss problem-specific mutation operators
- **Exchange**
Copy parent, choose $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ uniformly randomly. Swap elements with positions i and j .
- **Jump**
Copy parent, choose $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ uniformly randomly. Delete element at position i and insert it at position j (elements in-between are moved).
- **Combination of exchange and jump**
Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ randomly according to Poisson-distribution with parameter 1, i. e. $\text{Prob}(k = r) = \frac{1}{e \cdot r!}$.
Do $k + 1$ iterations, where randomly uniformly either an exchange or a jump is done.



Crossover

- depends on the search space
- usually generates one offspring from at least two parents
- usually generates offspring, that are 'similar' to the parents
- **sometimes** two parents generate exactly two offspring



Crossover operators for $\{0, 1\}^n$ (1)

- **k -point crossover** (parameter k)

Generate offspring y from parents x_1 and x_2 .

Choose k different crossover points

$$p_1, \dots, p_k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 \dots < p_k$.

$$y = x_1[1]x_1[2] \dots x_1[p_1]x_2[p_1+1] \dots x_2[p_2]x_1[p_2+1] \dots$$

- most often k very small, usually $k = 2$ or even $k = 1$

- **uniform crossover**

Generate offspring y from parents x_1 and x_2 .

For every position $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ choose y_i randomly uniformly from either $x_1[i]$ or $x_2[i]$.

- $\forall i: x_1[i] = x_2[i] \Rightarrow y[i] = x_1[i]$
- generates uniformly one of the possible offspring of x_1 and x_2
- in general there are much more possible offspring than using k -point crossover



Crossover operators for $\{0, 1\}^n$ (2)

- Genepool crossover

Generate offspring y from parents x_1, x_2, \dots, x_μ .

Choose $y[i] = 1$ with probability $\sum_{j=1}^{\mu} x_j[i] / \mu$.

- often the whole population acts as parents
- theoretically motivated



Crossover operators for \mathbb{R}^n

- *k*-point crossover
works as in $\{0, 1\}^n$
- uniform crossover
works as in $\{0, 1\}^n$
- arithmetic crossover

Generate offspring y from parents x_1, x_2, \dots, x_μ .

Generate $y = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_i \cdot x_i$ with parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\mu$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \alpha_i = 1$.

- often $\alpha_i = 1/\mu$ for all i
- for $\alpha_i = 1/\mu$, y is centroid of parents
- for $\alpha_i = 1/\mu$, it's also called intermediate crossover
- arithmetic crossover is the only deterministic variation operator

Crossover operators for S_n

- most often offspring y is generated from two parents x_1, x_2
- **frequent idea** Choose two positions in x_1 , and sort elements in this interval according to their order in x_2 (concrete examples: PMX, CX).
- many problem-specific crossover operators (e. g. edge recombination or inver-over for TSP)
- no crossover operators successful over a wide range of applications